## 12 **Technical**Exclusive

 $\oplus$ 

# **Considerations** When **Designing a Pneumatic Transfer System**

By Larry Eagan, Mangum Systems

In 2014, Google first announced that its Google Maps application passed one billion monthly users. Judging by this fact, it easy to see that a large number of people believe that getting from point A to point B often requires direction and planning. This same thought process is also true for manufacturers of dry bulk materials in routing those materials from point A to point B within their plant. This guidance can be provided along those paths without an annoying "recalculating route" interruption telling you to "take the next available U-turn." In order to do this, professionals examine a number of factors in determining which type of conveying system will best serve to guide materials along the way.

#### General Information Required

Much like planning a car trip, in order to begin to get a handle on the best road to take, you must answer a

- few questions: 1) How far do I have to go? (distance)
- 2) How long does it take/ how fast do I need to get there? (rate)
- 3) How many people are going? (volume/density parameters)

When designing a new material conveying system, these factors are equally important, and how they are answered are interdependent on the size and type of system needed to be designed for transport. If you are going by yourself down the block to a park, you may walk. If the same park is two miles away, you may want to take a bike. Taking the kids to a baseball game across town? Then loading up the minivan is a better option. Need to make a cross country trip from New York to L.A. for a meeting tomorrow? Then an airplane

is probably a better choice.

In terms of conveying, distance is the first consideration. It is important to remember that when calculating for distance, it is total distance you need to remember. This includes the horizontal distance,

vertical distance, and the number of bends, elbows, or changes of direction. If you need to get material from a mixer to a truck load out silo that is 100 feet away, it is also important to remember that the silo is 60 feet tall when you get there. In addition, due to building supports for pipe and other existing equipment in the way, your pipe may need to be routed 140 feet in distances and take three elbows to get there instead of the optimal 100 feet straight line distance. Just like when traveling, that while the flying time from New York to L.A. may only be five hours on a non-stop, planning out your day may be completely different if you factor in parking, security lines, and a connection with a layover in Kansas City.

Rate also needs to be defined closely. In terms of our travel scenarios, if you are in St. Louis on Wednesday and need to get to Orlando by Friday, you may have plenty of time to drive there and see sights along the way. However, if you have a meeting in the office at 8 am Friday morning, you may need to hop a flight on Friday afternoon to make it. In the same thought, some plants may produce 24,000 lb of material a day. But whether that material is produced in 24 hours (1000 lb/hr), or in 8 hours (3000 lb/hr) makes a big difference in rate required for proper system design. In another example, a plant may use 100 lb of material in a mixture that it makes once an hour, but does that material need to be fed in one hour (100 lb/hr rate) or one minute (6000 lb/hr rate)?

 $\oplus$ 

Finally, the transport itself can be important based on how many people are going. A couple can easily enjoy a road trip in a two-seat convertible, but if you are taking two kids and Aunt Edna with you from Chicago to Wally World, you may need a sporty new station wagon. In terms of a conveying system, the size of a conveyor for moving 3000 lb/hr, over 100 ft, of 100 lb/cu ft cement may be very different than the size of a conveyor moving 3000 lb/hr, over 100 ft, of 6 lb/cu ft fume silica. This is another way of saying you can haul a lot more pounds of bricks than feathers in the same wheel barrow.

#### Gravity Conveying versus Mechanical Conveying versus Pneumatic Conveying

If you need to move material from one process to another, gravity is a nice way to do it. The nicest things about gravity are:

- 1) it's free;
- 2) It always works (or at least, if it stops working, you probably will have more pressing issues than your material handling system).



transfer system



Dilute system - vacuum



#### Dilute system - pressure

 $\oplus$ 

The problematic part of gravity is that it is not always practical. Issues like limitations in ceiling heights, costs of large structures and access platforms, and raw material access can make the best process diagrams impossible to implement in pure gravity flow alone. When this happens, you probably need to flatten out your stack-up and put in a conveyor. But which conveying method should you choose? Conveying of dry bulk materials can largely be broken into two categories: mechanical and pneumatic.

Mechanical conveying has advantages in the following scenarios, given the general information already discussed:

- The conveying distances are less than 80 ft or more than 600 ft
- The instantaneous rates are less than 2000 lb/hr or more than 100,000 lb/hr
- There are fewer than three or fewer changes in direction required

The reason for most of these limiting parameters is not engineering design or capability; its efficiencies and costs. In other words, it's not what is possible to do, but what is practical to do from an efficiency standpoint.

Systems that are extremely short or low rate are usually not cost effective to purchase because the fixed cost of buying a filter and a blower versus the variable cost of the pipe distance, makes pneumatic systems with pulse-jet filters, positive displacement blowers, and rotary valves inefficient by comparison to a simple screw conveyor or bucket elevator. (Industry note: This does not necessarily apply to small venture-based vacuum sequencing systems sold by some manufacturers).  $\oplus$ 

Systems that are very long or high rate are usually not cost effective to operate because of the relatively high energy consumption required to operate the air generation devices (positive displacement blowers or compressors) as compared to the horsepower requirements of a belt conveyor carrying (for

example) 100 tn/hr of coal over 2000 ft. While a pneumatic system often may be cleaner, more selfcontained, and require less maintenance, the sheer costs of running a 500 or so hp blower that may be required to make this large of a system work, tends to make the operating costs impractical.

In between these high and low parameters, pneumatic systems have a "sweet spot" though. They are often cost competitive for these rates and distances, and offer a number of advantages in terms of flexibility, cleanliness, and low maintenance. This is especially true in routing. Many mechanical types of conveyors such as belts, buckets, vibratory conveyors, and rigid screw conveyors do not offer a change in direction. At best, they may be run on an incline or decline, but turning requires a change to another conveyor or device. Other mechanical devices may offer some relief, such as flexible screws, Z-type bucket elevators, and aeromechanical or tubular drag conveyors. These devices may offer one to two changes of direction, but that is usually the maximum. Pneumatic systems can change direction with just an addition of an elbow, to which a single system may have as many as 12 turns, as long as they are taken into account in the original sizing design.

## Pressure Conveying Versus Vacuum Conveying

When conveying dry bulk materials pneumatically, the system designer has to also decide if the system is going to be a pressure



**Environmental Solution** 

## www.cwmfg.com 800-880-DUST

Stainless Steel/Food Grade Collectors Cartridge Pulse Collectors Bag Pulse Collectors Pneumatic Conveying Recycle Systems

> Industrial Duct Design Engineering Solutions Combustible Dust Solutions



## **Contained Product Transfer**



**maigen**ta

 $^{\uparrow}$ 

### Powder & Bulk Solids

## **Technical**Exclusive

January

 $\oplus$ 

system or a vacuum system. There are valid reasons to do each. Pressure systems are often more efficient, offering higher possible absolute pressure limits than vacuum systems in a given line size with a given type of air moving device. Another way of thinking of this is your car can often drive going forward better than it can in reverse. It's still the same car either way, but design parameters of how you operate it allow it to operate more efficiently in one mode than another. That being said, there are often times you need to go the other way for differing reasons.

The positive displacement blower is the "engine" of most dilute phase pneumatic systems. These units can operate in either positive pressure or negative pressure mode. However due to reasons of slip and air rarefication, the same blower size may do +14 psig, but only -7 psig (approximately -14 in. Hg). Since pressure is "force" or "work" in a line size, a pressure system can do more in a given line than a vacuum system.

Vacuum systems still have a place though and can often present advantages over pressure systems in different situations. A short summary of those is below. Like all designs, it is important to know that these are generalizations that are true in most cases, but have exceptions. Some of those variables are:

Concern	Pressure	Vacuum
Better for mul- tiple points	At the desti- nation	At the inlet
Airlock needed	At the inlet	At the destination
More height re- quired	At the inlet	At the destination
Heat sensitive materials	Can require air cooling	No effect
Toxic/hazard- ous materials	Higher exposure threat	More contained

 $\oplus$ 

In addition, there are some material storage devices that cannot be pressurized, like hopper bottom railcars, or can require additional design considerations due to air leakage issues, such as powdered material discharging from a lined bulk bag. The circumstances of these devices often make a vacuum system more attractive than a pressure system may otherwise be. These challenges do have design options that can be instituted to allow a pressure system to still function if needed, but may come with additional costs or restrictions.

#### Dilute Phase Conveying or Dense Phase Conveying

A third major consideration in pneumatic con-

veying is the choice of whether to design for a

dilute phase system or a dense phase system. Pneumatic conveying is about moving dry bulk materials with air. You can move a lot of things with air velocity and power. A tornado is proof of that. The question is what kind of shape is the material in that was moved, after it is done conveying?

Dilute phase conveying is about suspending materials in an airstream and moving them from point A to point B relatively quickly. Because there is less product friction with the pipe and you want the product to be suspended in the airstream, the velocities are high and the pressures needed to counteract friction are low. Dense phase systems move the product rela-



Dense phase transmitter

## **IT'S NOT YOUR JOB TO** ELIMINATE RISK. IT'S OURS.

When you spend money on capital equipment, you expect it to work. And that's our guarantee to you. Now you can focus on the important stuff hitting those production targets.

## Don't jeopardize your output levels -Work with the experts



Tubula





drag cor

Ideas that mov

## See more, save more: 877-798-2899 hapman.com/pbs Bell, Challenges in the scale-up of particulate processes - an industrial perspective, Jan. 2005.

- Floyd Phalen

Senior Project Manager, Hapman

#### www.PowderBulkSolids.com <sup>15</sup>



Pneumatic conveying system for food flavorings

tively slowly and slide the product through the pipe, often in slugs or waves. This requires lower velocities and higher pressures.

Industry estimates show that most pneumatic conveying systems are dilute phase instead of dense phase (80% vs 20%) because they are more cost effective to purchase and to operate. Most use positive displacement blowers instead of compressors for generation of the air flow. Most dilute phase systems also use rotary valves to control the material to air ratios instead of pressure vessels. The difference in prices of these items is usually significant. However dilute phase systems can be less desirable in one of two situations: when materials are friable (breakable; particle size degradable) or when they are highly abrasive.

 $\oplus$ 

Because dilute phase systems operate at high velocities and transport material quickly, friable products can tend to be more easily damaged in their transport. If you are making a product into a pellet, flake, or shape, and want the product to hold this form, banging it against the pipe (especially the elbows in a turn) can be problematic. Moving these products more slowly by sliding them along the pipe greatly reduces the opportunity for damage. Extruded shapes like pet food and breakfast cereal maintain their desired shapes for packaging and don't wind up with less product as dust in the bottom of the bag

In addition, the slow velocities of dense phase conveying offer advantages to abrasive materials as well. Dilute phase conveying sand, for instance, is essentially sand blasting the interior of your equipment from the inside out. While dilute phase conveying of materials like cement and silica may be possible to do, equipment will tend to last much longer and be less susceptible to impact wear when being more slowly conveyed in dense phase mode.

## Final Thoughts

Designing a conveying system can be a nuanced endeavor. Factors influencing design include rate, distance, volume, types of equipment or processes feeding the system, or that it is discharging into and  $\oplus$ 

desirable properties of the materials being conveyed. The more information gathered about these factors allow for a more complete and accurate recommendation in system design. Having a good idea of where you are going and how and when you want to get there can help you more readily map out a path to a successful system.

Larry Eagan is regional sales manager, Mangum Systems, Parsons, KS. For more information, call 800-748-7000, or visit www.magnumsystems.com.

OF EXCELLENCE SINCE 194





See Us At The Powder Show Booth #1745

## **Continuing Our Tradition of Excellence**

When Morris Coupling started in 1941, a tradition of excellence was born. Our constant commitment to making the best products and maintaining an extensive inventory supported by exceptional service keeps Morris the leading supplier of couplings, tubing and pipe products, hose, and installation components for pneumatic/ vacuum conveying applications.

Our success relies on one simple formula – *Remember your roots and stay committed to being the best.* It has worked for us...and we know our products will work for you.



## ary 2018

 $\oplus$ 

maigenta